Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/521,424	PEKER, ATAKAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark L. Shevin	4116
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: <u>Pending</u>
(1) Mark L. Shevin.	(3)	
(2) <u>John W. Peck</u> .	(4)	•
Date of Interview: <u>17 September 2007</u>	Time: <u>11:40 am</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-28		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	TWAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		• •
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a se did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section of all issues. 	tion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	SUPERVISO	/ICKIE Y. KIM
		RY PATENT EXAMINER
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I informed Applicant of a restriction requirement between the following two inventions

I. Claims 1-20, directed to a process of making an amorphous-alloy composite

II. Claims 21-28, directed to a product (dense reinforcement-containing amophous alloy composite)

Applicant elected without traverse, the process of claims 1-20...